arXiv:1101.0195v2 [math-ph] 28 Sep 2011

Wong's equations in Yang-Mills theory

S. N. Storchak*

Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Moscow Region,142284,Russia

September 30, 2011

Abstract

We derive Wong's equations for the finite-dimensional dynamical system representing the motion of a scalar particle on a compact Riemannian manifold with a given free isometric smooth action of a compact semisimple Lie group. The obtained equations are written in terms of dependent coordinates which are typically used in an implicit description of the local dynamics given on the orbit space of the principal fiber bundle. Using these equations we obtain Wong's equations in a pure Yang–Mills gauge theory with the Coulomb gauge fixing. This result is based on the existing analogy between the reduction procedures carried out in our finite-dimensional dynamical system and in Yang-Mills gauge fields.

1 Introduction

In his paper [1], S.K.Wong suggested the equations for the phenomenological description of the strong interactions. His idea was to present this interaction by means of the classical motion of some particles. A new approach has given rise to an alternative method of studying the behavior at short distances in QCD theory. (As an example of such an approach we refer to [2, 3].)

We note that one of Wong's equations, the equation for the motion of the particle in an external field, was earlier obtained by Kerner in [4].

Later Montgomery discovered [5], that Wong's equations are related to the dynamical systems with a symmetry. One can meets with the equations when considering the reduction problems in these systems. Wong's equations

---

*E-mail adress: storchak@ihep.ru

are the horizontal and vertical parts of the geodesic equation written for the Kaluza-Klein metric [6].

In the cotangent bundle reduction problem, Wong's equations can be obtained by extracting the horizontal part of $ker(d\omega)$, where ω is a connection one-form which characterise the dynamical system [7].

Although Wong's equations, resulting in reduction problems, coinside in form with the original Wong's equations (and with the equations that are used in applications), they have a different meaning. In the original equations, the field strength is a curvature of an arbitrary given gauge potential, whereas in the reduction problems, the field strength is a curvature of a special connection one-form, called the mechanical connection.[1] That is, the field strength is related to an effective internal interaction which exists in each dynamical system with a symmetry.

In Yang–Mills theory, we are dealing with an infinite-dimensional dynamical system with gauge degrees of freedom. In this theory, a description of a local dynamics can only be done in an implicit way. "True motion" of the system on the gauge orbit space can be studied by considering the evolution of the corresponding dynamical system given on the gauge surface. Since this surface is determined by the gauge conditions, this means that one should use the dependent variables to describe the evolution.

An appropriate method of such a description of the reduced motion in the finite-dimensional dynamical systems was previously developed in our papers [8, 9]. We considered the motion of the scalar particle on the compact Riemannian manifold on which an isometric smooth action of the semisimple compact Lie group was given. It was assumed that this action is free and proper. As in gauge theories, the reduced evolution in this system is defined on the orbit space of a group action.

The first part of our paper will be devoted to derivation of Wong's equations for our finite-dimensional dynamical system.

In the second part of the paper, after short reviewing of the reduction problem in a pure Yang-Mills theory, in which the reduced surface is given by means of the Coulomb gauge condition, we obtain Wong's equations for Yang-Mills gauge theory. This is done by generalizing Wong's equations for the finite-dimensional system.

In the last section we discuss some questions that must be addressed in future studies.

Details of the derivation of Wongs's equations are considered in Appendix.

---

[1] This connection is naturally arises in the reduction procedure.

2 Definitions

In this section we introduce the notations from our papers [8, 9], where the motion of the scalar particle on a compact manifold $\mathcal{P}$ was studied. A free isometric smooth action[2] of a semisimple compact Lie group $\mathcal{G}$ on this manifold leads to the fiber bundle picture with $\mathcal{P}$ as the total space of this principal fiber bundle $\pi : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{M}$.

To perform the reduction procedure we must first replace the original coordinates Q^A, given on a local chart of the manifold $\mathcal{P}$, by new coordinates (Q^{*A}, a^{α}) $(A = 1, \ldots, N_{\mathcal{P}}, N_{\mathcal{P}} = \dim \mathcal{P}; \alpha = 1, \ldots, N_{\mathcal{G}}, N_{\mathcal{G}} = \dim \mathcal{G})$ related to the fiber bundle. We are forced to introduce additional constraints $\chi^{\alpha}(Q^*) = 0$ in order to have one-to-one correspondence between the old coordinates Q^A and (Q^{*A}, a^{α}).

These constraints define the local submanifolds in the manifold $\mathcal{P}$. If these local submanifolds (local sections) can be 'glued' into the global manifold Σ, we get a trivial principal fiber bundle $P(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$ which is locally isomorphic to the trivial bundle $\Sigma \times \mathcal{G} \to \Sigma$. Therefore, we can use the coordinates Q^{*A} for the description of the evolution which is given on the manifold $\mathcal{M}$.

Replacing the original coordinate basis $(\frac{\partial}{\partial Q^A})$ for a new coordinate basis $(\frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{*A}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial a^{\alpha}})$, we come to the following representation for the original metric $\tilde{G}_{\mathcal{AB}}(Q^*, a)$ of the manifold $\mathcal{P}$:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} G_{CD}(Q^*)(P_{\perp})^C_A (P_{\perp})^D_B & G_{CD}(Q^*)(P_{\perp})^D_A K^C_{\mu} \bar{u}^{\mu}_{\alpha}(a) \\ G_{CD}(Q^*)(P_{\perp})^C_A K^D_{\nu} \bar{u}^{\nu}_{\beta}(a) & \gamma_{\mu\nu}(Q^*) \bar{u}^{\mu}_{\alpha}(a) \bar{u}^{\nu}_{\beta}(a) \end{array} \right), \qquad (1)$$

where K_{μ} are the Killing vector fields for the Riemannian metric $G_{AB}(Q)$. (This vector fields are restricted to the submanifold $\Sigma \equiv \{\chi^{\alpha} = 0\}$.)

In (1), by $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ we denote the metric given on the orbit of the group action. It is defined by the relation $\gamma_{\mu\nu} = K^A_{\mu} G_{AB} K^B_{\nu}$.

$\bar{u}^{\alpha}_{\beta}(a)$ (and $u^{\alpha}_{\beta}(a)$) are the coordinate representations of the auxiliary functions given on the group $\mathcal{G}$.

The operator $P_{\perp}(Q^*)$ is used to projects the vectors onto the tangent space to the gauge surface Σ:

$$(P_{\perp})^A_B = \delta^A_B - \chi^{\alpha}_B (\chi\chi^{\top})^{-1}{}^{\beta}_{\alpha} (\chi^{\top})^A_{\beta},$$

$(\chi^{\top})^A_{\beta}$ is a transposed matrix to the matrix $\chi^{\nu}_B \equiv \frac{\partial \chi^{\nu}}{\partial Q^B}$, $(\chi^{\top})^A_{\mu} = G^{AB} \gamma_{\mu\nu} \chi^{\nu}_B$.

The pseudoinverse matrix $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{AB}}(Q^*, a)$ to the matrix (1), i.e. such a matrix for which

$$\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{AB}} \tilde{G}_{\mathcal{BC}} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} (P_{\perp})^A_C & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} \end{array} \right),$$

---

[2] We consider the right action of the group on $\mathcal{P}$.

is given by

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} G^{EF}N^C_EN^D_F & G^{SD}N^C_S\chi^\mu_D(\Phi^{-1})^\nu_\mu\bar{v}^\sigma_\nu \\ G^{CB}\chi^\gamma_C(\Phi^{-1})^\beta_\gamma N^D_B\bar{v}^\alpha_\beta & G^{CB}\chi^\gamma_C(\Phi^{-1})^\beta_\gamma\chi^\mu_B(\Phi^{-1})^\nu_\mu\bar{v}^\alpha_\beta\bar{v}^\sigma_\nu \end{array}\right). \tag{2}$$

The matrix $(\Phi^{-1})^\beta_\mu$ is inverse to the Faddeev – Popov matrix Φ,

$$(\Phi)^\beta_\mu(Q) = K^A_\mu(Q)\frac{\partial\chi^\beta(Q)}{\partial Q^A}.$$

The projection operator

$$N^A_C \equiv \delta^A_C - K^A_\alpha(\Phi^{-1})^\alpha_\mu\chi^\mu_C$$

has the following properties:

$$N^A_BN^B_C = N^A_C, \quad N^A_BK^B_\mu = 0, \quad (P_\perp)^{\tilde{A}}_BN^C_{\tilde{A}} = (P_\perp)^C_B, \quad N^{\tilde{A}}_B(P_\perp)^C_{\tilde{A}} = N^C_B.$$

The matrix $\bar{v}^\alpha_\beta(a)$ is an inverse matrix to matrix $\bar{u}^\alpha_\beta(a)$.

3 Wong's equations

Wong's equations can be derived from the geodesic equations written in a special coordinate basis. So we need to change the previous coordinate basis $(\frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{*A}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial a^\alpha})$ given on $\mathcal{P}$ for a new nonholonomic basis. This basis was introduced in our paper [10]. It generalizes the horizontal lift basis considered in [11]. Our basis consists of the horizontal vector fields H_A and the left-invariant vector fields $L_\alpha = v^\mu_\alpha(a)\frac{\partial}{\partial a^\mu}$. The vector fields L_α obey the commutation relations

$$[L_\alpha, L_\beta] = c^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}L_\gamma,$$

where the $c^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ are the structure constants of the group $\mathcal{G}$.

The horizontal vector fields H_A are given as follows

$$H_A = N^E_A(Q^*)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{*E}} - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\alpha_E\, L_\alpha\right),$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\alpha_E(Q^*, a) = \bar{\rho}^\alpha_\mu(a)\,\mathcal{A}^\mu_E(Q^*)$. The matrix $\bar{\rho}^\alpha_\mu$ is inverse to the matrix ρ^β_α of the adjoint representation of the group $\mathcal{G}$, and $\mathcal{A}^\nu_P = \gamma^{\nu\mu}K^R_\mu\, G_{RP}$ is the mechanical connection defined in our principal fiber bundle.

The horizontal vector fields H_A have the following commutation relation:

$$[H_C, H_D] = (\Lambda^\gamma_CN^P_D - \Lambda^\gamma_DN^P_C)K^S_{\gamma P}\, H_S - N^E_CN^P_D\,\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^\alpha_{EP}L_\alpha,$$

where $\Lambda^{\gamma}_{D}=(\Phi^{-1})^{\gamma}_{\mu}\,\chi^{\mu}_{D}$, and the curvature $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\alpha}_{EP}$ of the connection $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\alpha}_{EP}=\frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{*E}}\,\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}_{P}-\frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{*P}}\,\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}_{E}+c^{\alpha}_{\nu\sigma}\,\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\nu}_{E}\,\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\sigma}_{P},$$

$(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\alpha}_{EP}(Q^{*},a)=\bar{\rho}^{\alpha}_{\mu}(a)\,\mathcal{F}^{\mu}_{EP}(Q^{*})\,)$. In the derivation of the above commutation relation, we have used the equality

$$L_{\alpha}\,\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\lambda}_{E}=-c^{\lambda}_{\alpha\mu}\,\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\mu}_{E}.$$

It follows from the equation satisfied by ρ: $L_{\alpha}\,\rho^{\gamma}_{\beta}=c^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}\,\rho^{\gamma}_{\mu}$.

In other words, the previous commutation relations represent the commutation relations of the nonholonomic basis

$$[H_C,H_D]=\mathfrak{C}^{A}_{CD}\,H_A+\mathfrak{C}^{\alpha}_{CD}L_{\alpha}$$

with the structure constants

$$\mathfrak{C}^{A}_{CD}=(\Lambda^{\gamma}_{C}K^{A}_{\gamma D}-\Lambda^{\gamma}_{D}K^{A}_{\gamma C})$$

and

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\alpha}_{CD}=-N^{S}_{C}N^{P}_{D}\,\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\alpha}_{SP}\,.$$

In our basis, L_{α} commutes with H_A :

$$[H_A,L_{\alpha}]=0.$$

And the metric (1) has the following representation:

$$\check{G}_{\mathcal{AB}}=\begin{pmatrix} G^{\mathrm{H}}_{AB} & 0\\ 0 & \tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\tilde{G}(H_A,H_B)\equiv G^{\mathrm{H}}_{AB}(Q^{*}),\qquad \tilde{G}(L_{\alpha},L_{\beta})\equiv\tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}(Q^{*},a)=\gamma_{\alpha'\beta'}(Q^{*})\,\rho^{\alpha'}_{\alpha}(a)\,\rho^{\beta'}_{\beta}(a).$$

The "horizontal metric" G^{H} is defined by the projection operator $\Pi^{A}_{B}=\delta^{A}_{B}-K^{A}_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu\nu}K^{D}_{\nu}G_{DB}$ as follows: $G^{\mathrm{H}}_{DC}=\Pi^{\tilde{D}}_{D}\,\Pi^{\tilde{C}}_{C}\,G_{\tilde{D}\tilde{C}}$.

Note that the projection operator Π^{A}_{B} satisfies the properties: $\Pi^{A}_{L}N^{L}_{C}=\Pi^{A}_{C}$ and $\Pi^{L}_{B}N^{A}_{L}=N^{A}_{B}$.

The pseudoinverse matrix $\check{G}^{\mathcal{AB}}$ to the matrix (3) is defined by the following orthogonality condition:

$$\check{G}^{\mathcal{AB}}\check{G}_{\mathcal{BC}}=\begin{pmatrix} N^{A}_{C} & 0\\ 0 & \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}\end{pmatrix},$$

and can be written as

$$\check{G}^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} G^{EF} N^A_E N^B_F & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\gamma}^{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the following formula[3]

$$\begin{aligned} 2\,\check{\Gamma}^{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}\,\tilde{G}(\partial_{\mathcal{D}},\partial_{\mathcal{C}}) &= \partial_{\mathcal{A}}\,\tilde{G}(\partial_{\mathcal{B}},\partial_{\mathcal{C}}) + \partial_{\mathcal{B}}\,\tilde{G}(\partial_{\mathcal{A}},\partial_{\mathcal{C}}) - \partial_{\mathcal{C}}\,\tilde{G}(\partial_{\mathcal{A}},\partial_{\mathcal{B}}) \\ &\quad -\tilde{G}(\partial_{\mathcal{A}},[\partial_{\mathcal{B}},\partial_{\mathcal{C}}]) - \tilde{G}(\partial_{\mathcal{B}},[\partial_{\mathcal{A}},\partial_{\mathcal{C}}]) + \tilde{G}(\partial_{\mathcal{C}},[\partial_{\mathcal{A}},\partial_{\mathcal{B}}]), \end{aligned}$$

we calculated (in [10]) the Christoffel symbols $\check{\Gamma}^{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}$ in the nonholonomic basis (H_A, L_α):

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\Gamma}^D_{AB} &= N^E_A\,{}^{\mathrm{H}}\Gamma^D_{BE}, \\ \check{\Gamma}^\mu_{AB} &= -\frac{1}{2} N^E_A N^F_B\,\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^\mu_{EF}, \\ \check{\Gamma}^P_{\alpha B} &= \frac{1}{2} G^{PS} N^F_S N^E_B\,\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^\mu_{EF}\tilde{\gamma}_{\mu\alpha}, \\ \check{\Gamma}^P_{A\beta} &= \frac{1}{2} G^{PS} N^F_S N^E_A\,\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^\mu_{EF}\tilde{\gamma}_{\mu\beta}, \\ \check{\Gamma}^P_{\alpha\beta} &= -\frac{1}{2}\,G^{PS} H_S\tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} G^{PS} N^E_S\,\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_E\tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}, \\ \check{\Gamma}^\mu_{\alpha B} &= \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\gamma}^{\mu\nu} H_B\tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\gamma}^{\mu\nu} N^E_B\,\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_E\tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha\nu}, \\ \check{\Gamma}^\mu_{A\beta} &= \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\gamma}_{\mu\nu} H_A\tilde{\gamma}_{\beta\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\gamma}^{\mu\nu} N^E_A\,\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_E\tilde{\gamma}_{\beta\nu}, \\ \check{\Gamma}^\mu_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\gamma}^{\mu\nu}(c^\sigma_{\alpha\beta}\tilde{\gamma}_{\sigma\nu} - c^\sigma_{\nu\beta}\tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha\sigma} - c^\sigma_{\nu\alpha}\tilde{\gamma}_{\beta\sigma}). \end{aligned}$$

In these formulae, the covariant derivatives are given as follows

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_E\tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} = \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{*E}}\tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} - c^\sigma_{\mu\alpha}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\mu_E\tilde{\gamma}_{\sigma\beta} - c^\sigma_{\mu\beta}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\mu_E\tilde{\gamma}_{\sigma\alpha}\Big).$$

Note also that the Christoffel symbols ${}^{\mathrm{H}}\Gamma^B_{CD}$ are defined by the equality

$$G^{\mathrm{H}}_{AB}\,{}^{\mathrm{H}}\Gamma^B_{CD} = \frac{1}{2}\left(G^{\mathrm{H}}_{AC,D} + G^{\mathrm{H}}_{AD,C} - G^{\mathrm{H}}_{CD,A}\right).$$

Here, by the derivatives we mean the following: $G^{\mathrm{H}}_{AC,D} \equiv \frac{\partial G^{\mathrm{H}}_{AC}(Q)}{\partial Q^D}\Big|_{Q=Q^*}$.

The geodesic equation in the Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{P}$ can be written as

$$\frac{d\dot{y}^{\mathcal{A}}}{dt} + \check{\Gamma}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}}\dot{y}^{\mathcal{B}}\dot{y}^{\mathcal{C}} = 0.$$

---

[3] The terms of the form $\partial_{\mathcal{A}}\tilde{G}$ denote the corresponding directional derivatives.

In our horizontal lift basis, we first decompose the tangent vector $\dot{y}$ into the horizontal and vertical components:

$$\dot{y}(t) = z^A(t) H_A + z^\alpha(t) L_\alpha .$$

Because of the orthogonality of our basis, we get the system of two equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{dz^A}{dt} + \check{\Gamma}^A_{BC}\, z^B z^C + \check{\Gamma}^A_{B\alpha}\, z^B z^\alpha + \check{\Gamma}^A_{\beta B}\, z^B z^\beta + \check{\Gamma}^A_{\alpha\beta}\, z^\alpha z^\beta = 0 \\
&\frac{dz^\mu}{dt} + \check{\Gamma}^\mu_{AB}\, z^A z^B + \check{\Gamma}^\mu_{\alpha B}\, z^\alpha z^B + \check{\Gamma}^\mu_{A\beta}\, z^A z^\beta + \check{\Gamma}^\mu_{\alpha\beta}\, z^\alpha z^\beta = 0
\end{aligned}$$

If we replace the Christoffel symbols $\check{\Gamma}^A_{B\alpha}$ by their explicit expressions, we come to desirable equations. The horizontal equation will be as follows

$$\frac{d\,\dot{Q}^{*A}}{dt} + {}^{\mathrm{H}}\Gamma^A_{BC} \dot{Q}^{*B} \dot{Q}^{*C} + G^{AS} N^F_S \mathcal{F}^\nu_{EF} \dot{Q}^{*E} p_\nu + \frac{1}{2} G^{AS}\, N^E_S\, (\mathcal{D}_E \gamma^{\kappa\sigma}) p_\sigma p_\kappa = 0. \tag{4}$$

This equation was obtained from the previous one, after replacing the variable z^A by $\dot{Q}^{*A}$. Also, we have introduced a new variable $p_\nu = \gamma_{\nu\kappa} \rho^\kappa_\alpha z^\alpha$.

We note that the identity $N^E_B \dot{Q}^{*B} = \dot{Q}^{*A}$ has allowed us to omit one of the two projection operators in the third term of eq.(4). Moreover, in derivation of the last term of this equation, we have used the following identity:

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_E \tilde{\gamma}_{\beta\nu}) = -(\mathcal{D}_E \gamma^{\kappa\sigma})\, \gamma_{\mu\sigma}\, \rho^\mu_\nu\, \gamma_{\kappa\alpha}\, \rho^\alpha_\beta .$$

Making use of the method from [12], we get the vertical Wong's equation

$$\frac{dp_\sigma}{dt} - c^\kappa_{\mu\sigma}\, \mathcal{A}^\mu_E\, p_\kappa\, \dot{Q}^{*E} - c^\kappa_{\mu\nu}\, \mathcal{A}^\mu_E\, \gamma^{\nu\nu'}\, p_{\nu'}\, \gamma_{\sigma\kappa}\, \dot{Q}^{*E} - c^\mu_{\sigma\nu}\, \gamma^{\nu\kappa}\, p_\mu\, p_\kappa = 0. \tag{5}$$

This equation was derived by identifying the coordinates z^α of the vertical component of the tangent vector $\dot{y}$ with the coordinates $u^\alpha_\beta((a(t))\frac{da^\beta}{dt}$ of the vector which belongs to $T_e\mathcal{G}$.

The obtained equation (5) is similar to the corresponding equation from [12]. But our equation has an extra term depending on $\dot{Q}^*$, namely the third term of the equation.

4 Principal bundle coordinates in Yang-Mills

In Yang-Mills theory, the original evolution of the dynamical system is considered on the function space of connections that are defined in the principal fiber bundle, or equivalently, on the function space of the gauge fields. The gauge transformations form a group which acts on this space. The reduced evolution is given on the orbit space of the group action.

In order to apply the geometric approach, developed in [13], to the study of the dynamical system defined on the space of connections, one must impose additional functional restrictions both on connections and the gauge group [14, 15, 16, 17]. We assume that points of a manifold $\mathcal{P}$ are the irreducible connections in the principal fiber bundle $P(M,G)$ (in Sobolev class H_k, $k>3$). Also, as the transformation group $\mathcal{G}$, we use the quotient group of the gauge transformation group by its center. Moreover, we assume that this group is the gauge group of time-independent transformations:[4]

$$\tilde{A}_i^{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})=\rho^{\alpha}_{\beta}(g^{-1}(\mathbf{x}))A_i^{\beta}(\mathbf{x})+u^{\alpha}_{\mu}(g(\mathbf{x}))\frac{\partial g^{\mu}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}^i}\,,$$

where $\rho^{\alpha}_{\beta}(g)=\bar{u}^{\alpha}_{\nu}(g)\,v^{\nu}_{\beta}(g)$ is the matrix of the adjoint representation of the group G.

To fix the gauge symmetry, we will use the Coulomb condition $\partial^k A_k^{\nu}(\mathbf{x})=0$, $\nu=1,\ldots,N_G$, (or $\chi^{\nu}(A)=0$ for short). This means that the original coordinates "Q^A" of a point $p\in\mathcal{P}$ (i.e. the gauge fields $A_i^{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$ in our case) can be expressed by making use of the coordinates of the corresponding point given on a gauge surface $\Sigma\equiv\{\chi^{\nu}(A)=0\}$. As in the finite-dimensional case, the local evolution on the orbit space corresponds to the evolution given on the surface defined by this gauge.

It is known that in the case of time-independent gauge transformation, the Hamiltonian of the pure Yang-Mills theory, which is used in the Schrödinger functional approach [18], has the following form:[5]

$$H=\frac{1}{2}\mu^2\kappa\,\triangle_{\mathcal{P}}[A_a]+\frac{1}{\mu^2\kappa}\,V[A_a],$$

where

$$\triangle_{\mathcal{P}}[A]=\int d^3x\,k^{\alpha\beta}\delta_{ij}\frac{\delta^2}{\delta A_i^{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})\;\delta A_j^{\beta}(\mathbf{x})}\,,$$

$$V[A]=\int d^3x\,\frac{1}{2}\,k_{\alpha\beta}\,F_{ij}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})\,F^{\beta\,ij}(\mathbf{x})\,.$$

$k_{\alpha\beta}=c^{\tau}_{\mu\alpha}c^{\mu}_{\tau\beta}$ is the Cartan–Killing metric on the group G, $\mu^2=\hbar g_0^2$, and κ is a real positive parameter. This means that for the quadratic part of the Hamiltonian,

$$G^{(\alpha,i,x)\;(\beta,j,x')}\frac{\delta^2}{\delta A^{(\alpha,i,x)}\;\delta A^{(\beta,j,x')}},$$

---

[4] This means that the gauge condition $A_0=0$ is already imposed.

[5] We restrict our consideration to the Euclidean domain.

one can use the flat metric $G^{(\alpha,i,x)\,(\beta,j,x')} = k^{\alpha\,\beta}\,\delta^{i\,j}\,\delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')$.

Notice that in some cases, the employment of the flat metric may lead to divergences. In these cases one must regularize the flat metric, i.e. convert it into a Riemannian metric of a special form [13].

In the formulae given above, we have used the extended notation for the indices from [19]. With this notation, one can easily generalize the formulae obtained in the finite-dimensional case to the corresponding formulae of the field theories.

Thus, in our problem, we have a flat Riemannian metric

$$ds^2 = G_{(\alpha,i,x)(\beta,j,y)}\delta A^{(\alpha,i,x)}\delta A^{(\beta,j,y)}\,,$$

$$G_{(\alpha,i,x)(\beta,j,y)} = G\Big(\frac{\delta}{\delta A_i^{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})}\,,\,\frac{\delta}{\delta A_j^{\beta}(\mathbf{y})}\Big) = k_{\alpha\beta}\delta^{ij}\delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\,,$$

which is given on the original manifold $\mathcal{P}$ of the gauge potentials.

This manifold can be viewed locally as a total space of the principal fiber bundle $\pi : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{M}$. It follows that instead of the coordinates $A_i^{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$ given on the original the manifold $\mathcal{P}$ we can introduce new coordinates $(A^{*}{}_i^{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}), g^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}))$ which are related to the principal bundle. The dependent coordinates A^* must satisfy the equation defined by the gauge condition: $\chi^{\alpha}(A^*) = 0$.

All the transformations, that have been made in the finite-dimensional case, can also be performed in the function space of the gauge fields. By using one of these transformations, i.e. the corresponding gauge transformation, we restrict the Killing vectors $K_{(\alpha,y)}$, defined on $\mathcal{P}$ as

$$K_{(\alpha,y)} = K^{(\mu,i,x)}{}_{(\alpha,y)}\frac{\delta}{\delta A^{(\mu,i,x)}}\,,$$

$$K^{(\mu,i,x)}{}_{(\alpha,y)}(A) = \big[\big(\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\mu}\partial^{i}(\mathbf{x}) + c^{\mu}_{\tilde{\nu}\alpha}A^{\tilde{\nu}i}(\mathbf{x})\big)\,\delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\big] \equiv \big[\mathcal{D}^{\mu i}{}_{\alpha}(A(\mathbf{x}))\,\delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\big]$$

(here $\partial_i(\mathbf{x})$ is a partial derivative with respect to x^i), to the the gauge surface Σ.[6] The Killing vectors on Σ are used for definition of the orbit metric

$$\gamma_{(\mu,x)(\nu,y)} = K^{(\alpha,i,z)}{}_{(\mu,x)}G_{(\alpha,i,z)(\beta,j,u)}K^{(\beta,j,u)}{}_{(\nu,y)}\,.$$

That is,

$$\gamma_{(\mu,x)(\nu,y)} = \int d^3u\,d^3v\,k_{\varphi\alpha}\,\delta^{kl}\,\delta^3(\mathbf{u}{-}\mathbf{v})\,\big[\mathcal{D}^{\varphi}_{\mu\,k}(\mathbf{u})\delta^3(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{x})\big]\,\big[\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\nu\,l}(\mathbf{v})\delta^3(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{y})\big]\,.$$

---

[6] On the surface Σ, the components $K^{(\mu,i,x)}{}_{(\alpha,y)}$ become dependent on A^*.

There is also another representation for the metric γ :

$$\gamma_{(\mu,x)(\nu,y)} = k_{\varphi\alpha}\delta^{kl}\left[(-\delta^{\varphi}_{\mu}\,\partial_k(\mathbf{x}) + c^{\varphi}_{\sigma\mu}A^{*\sigma}_{\ k}(\mathbf{x}))(\delta^{\alpha}_{\nu}\partial_l(\mathbf{y}) + c^{\alpha}_{\kappa\nu}A^{*\kappa}_{\ l}(\mathbf{y}))\delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\right].$$

An "inverse matrix" $\gamma^{(\alpha,y)(\mu,z)}$ to the matrix $\gamma_{(\mu,x)(\nu,y)}$ can be defined by the following equation:

$$\gamma_{(\mu,x)(\nu,y)}\ \gamma^{(\nu,y)(\sigma,z)} = \delta^{(\sigma,z)}_{(\mu,x)} \equiv \delta^{\sigma}_{\mu}\,\delta^3(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{x})\,.$$

Integrating over $\mathbf{y}$ in the left side of the equation (i.e. performing the generalized summation over the repeated index y), we get

$$k_{\varphi\alpha}\,\delta^{kl}\,\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{\varphi}_{\mu\,k}(A^*(\mathbf{x}))\,\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\nu\,l}(A^*(\mathbf{x}))\,\gamma^{(\nu,x)(\sigma,z)} = \delta^{\sigma}_{\mu}\,\delta^3(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{x})\,.$$

Thus, $\gamma^{(\nu,x)(\sigma,z)}$ is the Green function of the operator $(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}\,\mathcal{D})_{\mu\nu}$. Of course, this implies a choice of the certain boundary conditions. We also recall that the Killing vector and the matrix $\gamma^{\mu\nu}$ are used in determining the mechanical connection

$$\mathcal{A}^{\sigma}_{P}(Q^*) = \gamma^{\sigma\,\mu}(Q^*)\,K^{R}_{\mu}(Q^*)\,G_{RP}(Q^*)\,.$$

Its counterpart in the Yang-Mills fields, the "Coulomb connection" $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha}_{B}$, is given by

$$\mathcal{A}^{(\alpha,x)}_{\quad(\beta,j,y)} = \left[\mathcal{D}^{\varphi}_{\ \mu j}(A^*(\mathbf{y}))\gamma^{(\alpha,x)\,(\mu,y)}\right]\,k_{\varphi\beta}\,.$$

5 Wong's equations for the gauge fields

In this section, we consider briefly the main steps that lead us to Wong's equations. Since the Riemannian metric on the original manifold of the gauge fields is flat, we first rewrite the equation (4), assuming now that in the finite-dimensional case the metric is also flat, i.e. $G_{AB} = \delta_{AB}$.

By using the Killing relation for the flat metric, it is not difficult to find that $K^{F}_{\sigma}\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{EF} = 0$. This relation allows us to get rid of the projector N in the equation (4), and as a result, we come to the following equation:

$$\frac{d\,\dot{Q}^{*A}}{dt} + {}^{\mathrm{H}}\Gamma^{A}_{BC}\dot{Q}^{*B}\dot{Q}^{*C} + G^{AS}\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{ES}\dot{Q}^{*E}p_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2}G^{AE}\,(\mathcal{D}_{E}\gamma^{\kappa\sigma})p_{\sigma}p_{\kappa} = 0. \qquad (6)$$

This equation will be used in derivation of the Wong's equation for the gauge field. But first we must transform the terms of this equation. We will express the curvature $\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{ES}$, the Christoffel symbol ${}^{\mathrm{H}}\Gamma^{A}_{BC}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{E}\gamma^{\kappa\sigma}$ by using the Killing vectors, the mechanical connection and the orbit metric.

Let us consider the term of the equation with the curvature $\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{ES} = \mathcal{A}^{\nu}_{SE} - \mathcal{A}^{\nu}_{ES} + c^{\nu}_{\beta\sigma}\mathcal{A}^{\beta}_{E}\mathcal{A}^{\sigma}_{S}$. Taking the partial derivative of the mechanical connection

$\mathcal{A}^{\nu}_{S}=\gamma^{\nu\mu}K^{R}_{\mu}G_{RS}$ with respect to Q^{*E}, one can find, after some necessary transformations, that

$$\mathcal{A}^{\nu}_{SE}=-\gamma^{\nu\epsilon}\,K^{R}_{\epsilon E}\,G_{RB}\,K^{B}_{\mu}\mathcal{A}^{\mu}_{S}-\mathcal{A}^{\nu}_{B}\,\mathcal{A}^{\mu}_{S}\,K^{B}_{\mu E}+\gamma^{\nu\mu}\,K^{B}_{\mu E}\,G_{BS}.$$

Using the obtained representation for $\mathcal{A}^{\nu}_{SE}$ in the curvature $\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{ES}$, it is not difficult to get (also by means of the Killing relation for the flat metric) the following equality

$$\begin{aligned}G^{AS}\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{ES}&=2\gamma^{\nu\epsilon}\,(K^{B}_{\epsilon}K^{R}_{\mu B})\,G_{RE}\,\gamma^{\mu\beta}\,K^{A}_{\beta}+c^{\sigma}_{\mu\epsilon}\,\gamma^{\nu\epsilon}\,K^{R}_{\sigma}\,G_{RE}\,\gamma^{\mu\beta}\,K^{A}_{\beta}\\&+2\gamma^{\nu\mu}\,K^{A}_{\mu E}-2\gamma^{\nu\epsilon}\,(K^{B}_{\epsilon}K^{A}_{\mu B})\,\mathcal{A}^{\mu}_{E}-c^{\sigma}_{\mu\epsilon}\,\gamma^{\nu\epsilon}\,\mathcal{A}^{\mu}_{E}\,K^{A}_{\sigma}+c^{\nu}_{\beta\sigma}\,\gamma^{\sigma\alpha}\,\mathcal{A}^{\beta}_{E}\,K^{A}_{\alpha}.\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

Notice that it is possible to combine the second, fifth and sixth terms at the right hand side of eq.(7). These terms can be rewritten to give

$$-2\Gamma^{\nu}_{\kappa\sigma}\gamma^{\sigma\varphi}\mathcal{A}^{\kappa}_{E}K^{A}_{\varphi},$$

in which the Christoffel symbol of the orbit is defined by

$$\Gamma^{\nu}_{\alpha\beta}=\frac{1}{2}(c^{\nu}_{\alpha\beta}-\gamma^{\nu\epsilon}c^{\sigma}_{\epsilon\alpha}\gamma_{\sigma\beta}-\gamma^{\nu\epsilon}c^{\sigma}_{\epsilon\beta}\gamma_{\sigma\alpha}).$$

Now we consider the Christoffel symbols ${}^{\mathrm{H}}\Gamma^{A}_{BC}$ associated with the horizontal metric ${}^{\mathrm{H}}G_{AB}$. In the case of a flat metric, it can be presented as

$${}^{\mathrm{H}}\Gamma^{A}_{BC}=-(\mathcal{A}^{\beta}_{B}K^{A}_{\beta C}+\mathcal{A}^{\beta}_{C}\,K^{A}_{\beta B})+\frac{1}{2}(K^{M}_{\nu}\,K^{A}_{\beta M})\,(\mathcal{A}^{\nu}_{B}\,\mathcal{A}^{\beta}_{C}+\mathcal{A}^{\nu}_{C}\,\mathcal{A}^{\beta}_{B}).\tag{8}$$

Notice that this expression is equal to an analogous expression for the Christoffel symbol obtained in [20].

The last term of eq.(6), as can be easily shown, has the following equivalent representation:

$$\frac{1}{2}G^{AE}\,(\mathcal{D}_{E}\gamma^{\kappa\sigma})=(K^{B}_{\mu}\,K^{A}_{\beta B})\,\gamma^{\mu\kappa}\,\gamma^{\beta\sigma}+c^{\kappa}_{\beta\nu}\gamma^{\nu\sigma}\,\gamma^{\beta\mu}\,K^{A}_{\mu}.\tag{9}$$

In order to obtain Wong's equations for the gauge fields, one needs to make a replacement of the terms of equations (7), (8) and (9) by the appropriate functional expressions, and then to perform the generalized summation over the repeated indices.

It is easy to see, for example, that the partial derivatives of Killing vectors in a finite-dimensional case, i.e.

$$K^{C}_{\alpha B}(Q^{*})=\partial K^{C}_{\alpha}(Q^{*})/\partial Q^{*B},$$

can be associated with the functional derivatives of $K^{(\epsilon,m,z)}_{(\alpha,x)}$ with respect to $A^{(\beta,j,y)}$:

$$K^{(\epsilon,m,z)}_{(\alpha,x)(\beta,j,y)} \equiv \frac{\delta}{\delta A^{(\beta,j,y)}}\, K^{(\epsilon,m,z)}_{(\alpha,x)} = \delta^m_j\, c^{\epsilon}_{\beta\alpha}\, \delta^3(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{x})\, \delta^3(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{y})\,.$$

Making use of the functional expression for $K^C_{\alpha B}$ and performing the integration and summation over the repeated indices, we can find the functional representation for the following product $K^B_\sigma K^E_{\alpha B}$. It is given by

$$K^{(\varphi,m,z)}_{(\alpha,x)(\beta,j,y)}\, K^{(\beta,j,y)}_{(\sigma,u)} = c^{\varphi}_{\beta\alpha}\, \delta^3(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{x})\ \left[\mathcal{D}^{\beta m}_{\sigma}(A^*(\mathbf{z}))\delta^3(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{u})\right].$$

In other cases we will proceed in a similar way. Some details of these calculations are considered in Appendix. We present here only the result of our calculation, according to which the horizontal Wong's equation is as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\dot{A}^{*\alpha i}(\mathbf{x},t) + \Big(-2\, c^{\alpha}_{\epsilon\beta}\, \dot{A}^{*\epsilon i}(\mathbf{x},t) \int d\mathbf{y}\, \mathcal{A}^{(\beta,x)}_{(\sigma,j,y)} \dot{A}^{*\sigma j}(\mathbf{y},t)\\
&+ c^{\alpha}_{\mu\beta} \int d\mathbf{y} d\mathbf{z}\, \mathcal{A}^{(\beta,x)}_{(\epsilon,k,z)} \left[\mathcal{D}^{\mu i}_{\nu}(A^*(\mathbf{x},t))\mathcal{A}^{(\nu,x)}_{(\sigma,j,y)}\right]\, \dot{A}^{*\sigma j}(\mathbf{y},t)\, \dot{A}^{*\epsilon k}(\mathbf{z},t)\Big)\\
&+\text{“}\mathcal{F}-\text{terms}''\\
&-c^{\beta}_{\varphi\mu}\, k^{\alpha\varphi} \int d\mathbf{u}\, d\mathbf{z}\, \gamma^{(\kappa,u)\,(\mu,x)} \mathcal{A}^{(\sigma,i,z)}_{(\beta,x)}\, p_\kappa(\mathbf{u},t)\, p_\sigma(\mathbf{z},t) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the “$\mathcal{F}$−terms″ corresponds to the terms of eq.(7). They are explicitly given as

1.

$$-2c^{\sigma}_{\varphi\mu} k^{\beta\alpha} \int d\mathbf{y} d\mathbf{z}\, \mathcal{A}^{(\nu,z)}_{(\sigma,k,y)}\, \mathcal{A}^{(\mu,i,y)}_{(\beta,x)}\, \dot{A}^{*\varphi k}(\mathbf{y},t)\, p_\nu(\mathbf{z},t)$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned}
&c^{\sigma}_{\mu\epsilon} \int d\mathbf{y}\, d\mathbf{z}\, \Big\{k_{\sigma\varphi}\left[\partial_k(\mathbf{y})\gamma^{(\nu,z)\,(\epsilon,y)}\right] k^{\beta\alpha}\, \mathcal{A}^{(\mu,i,y)}_{(\beta,x)}\\
&+ \gamma^{(\nu,z)\,(\epsilon,y)} k_{\rho\varphi} \left[\mathcal{D}^{\rho}_{\ \sigma k}(A^*(\mathbf{y},t))\, \mathcal{A}^{(\mu,i,y)}_{(\beta,x)}\right] k^{\beta\alpha}\Big\}\, \dot{A}^{*\varphi k}(\mathbf{y},t)\, p_\nu(\mathbf{z},t)
\end{aligned}$$

3.

$$2\, c^{\alpha}_{\beta\mu} \left(\int d\mathbf{z}\, \gamma^{(\nu,z)\,(\mu,x)}\, p_\nu(\mathbf{z},t)\right) \dot{A}^{*\varphi i}(\mathbf{x},t)$$

4.

$$2c^{\sigma}_{\rho\mu}k^{\rho\alpha}\int d\mathbf{y}d\mathbf{z}\,\mathcal{A}^{(\nu,i,z)}_{(\sigma,x)}\,\mathcal{A}^{(\mu,x)}_{(\varphi,k,y)}\,\dot{A}^{*\varphi k}(\mathbf{y},t)\;p_{\nu}(\mathbf{z},t)$$

5.

$$\begin{aligned}&-c^{\sigma}_{\mu\epsilon}\int d\mathbf{y}\,d\mathbf{z}\,\Bigl\{\delta^{\alpha}_{\sigma}\left[\partial^{i}(\mathbf{x})\gamma^{(\nu,z)\,(\epsilon,x)}\right]\,\mathcal{A}^{(\mu,x)}_{(\varphi,k,y)}\\&+\gamma^{(\nu,z)\,(\epsilon,x)}\left[\mathcal{D}^{\alpha i}_{\sigma}(A^{*}(\mathbf{x},t))\,\mathcal{A}^{(\mu,x)}_{(\varphi,k,y)}\right]\Bigr\}\;\dot{A}^{*\varphi k}(\mathbf{y},t)\,p_{\nu}(\mathbf{z},t)\end{aligned}$$

6.

$$c^{\nu}_{\beta\sigma}k^{\mu\alpha}\int d\mathbf{y}\,d\mathbf{z}\,\mathcal{A}^{(\beta,z)}_{(\varphi,k,y)}\,\mathcal{A}^{(\sigma,i,z)}_{(\mu,x)}\,\dot{A}^{*\varphi k}(\mathbf{y},t)\,p_{\nu}(\mathbf{z},t)$$

The vertical Wong's equation is

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\,p_{\sigma}(\mathbf{x},t)-c^{\kappa}_{\varphi\sigma}\,p_{\kappa}(\mathbf{x},t)\int d\mathbf{y}\,\mathcal{A}^{(\varphi,x)}_{(\beta,j,y)}\,\dot{A}^{*\beta j}(\mathbf{y},t)\\&-c^{\epsilon}_{\varphi\alpha}\int d\mathbf{v}\,d\mathbf{r}\,\gamma^{(\alpha,v)\,(\mu,r)}\,p_{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t)\,\gamma_{(\sigma,x)\,(\epsilon,v)}\int d\mathbf{y}\,\mathcal{A}^{(\varphi,v)}_{(\beta,j,y)}\,\dot{A}^{*\beta j}(\mathbf{y},t)\\&-c^{\varphi}_{\sigma\epsilon}\,p_{\varphi}(\mathbf{x},t)\int d\mathbf{y}\,\gamma^{(\epsilon,x)\,(\mu,y)}\,p_{\mu}(\mathbf{y},t)=0.\end{aligned}$$

6 Concluding remarks

In this paper, our aim was to obtain Wong's equations in a pure Yang–Mills theory. To solve this problem, we first examined a similar problem in a finite-dimensional case, using for this purpose a special dynamical system with symmetry. The original Riemannian manifold, being the configuration space of our dynamical system, can be viewed as a total space of the principal fiber bundle.

We have used an approach by which the description of the evolution on the orbit space is given in terms of the dependent variables (presented in a local picture by dependent coordinates). This choice corresponds to the case of the "unresolved gauges" in the Yang-Mills gauge theory, and this implies that we can not find the local coordinates in explicit form on the gauge surfaces.

Using the bundle coordinates on the original manifold, we have derived Wong's equations whose solutions determine the geodesic motion in the original Riemannian manifold. The fact that these equations are closely related to the reduction problems in the dynamical systems with a symmetry allows one to use them to get analogous equations in a variety of dynamical systems with similar behavior under reduction.

In our paper, Wong's equations in the Yang–Mills theory were obtained as a natural generalization of their finite-dimensional analogues. We found that Wong's equations are rather complicated nonlocal integro-differential equations.

Notice that if we put the vertical variables in Wong's equations equal to zero, we will get the equation which describes the geodesic motion on the gauge orbit space. This equation is also of interest in the gauge theories, since it may be used there for the study of the internal dynamics.

7 Appendix

Our Wong's equations for the Yang–Mills theory are obtained by generalizing the finite-dimensional equations. Instead of the finite-dimensional variables, we introduce their counterparts taken from the gauge field theory. In our new notation, the field variables will have the extended indices. This means that now we regard the indices of the variables in the finite-dimensional equations as a compact notation of the corresponding extended indices:

$$A \to (\alpha, i, x);\ \ \mu \to (\mu, u);\ \ldots \ \text{etc}\ .$$

Then for the time derivative of the basic variable $Q^B(t)$ we have the following correspondence:

$$\dot{Q}^{*B}(t) \to \frac{d}{dt}A^{*(\sigma,j,y)}(t) \equiv \frac{d}{dt}A^{*\sigma j}(\mathbf{y},t) \equiv \dot{A}^{*\sigma j}(\mathbf{y},t).$$

Thus, to obtain the Wong's equation for the gauge field we need to make a similar replacements in all variables of the finite-dimensional equations.

Let us consider the "${}^{\mathrm{H}}\Gamma$-terms" of Wong's equations

$${}^{\mathrm{H}}\Gamma^{A}_{BC}\,\dot{Q}^{*B}\dot{Q}^{*C} = \Big(-2\mathcal{A}^{\beta}_{B}K^{A}_{\beta C} + (K^{M}_{\nu}\,K^{A}_{\beta M})\,\mathcal{A}^{\nu}_{B}\,\mathcal{A}^{\beta}_{C}\Big)\,\dot{Q}^{*B}\dot{Q}^{*C}.$$

We see that there are linear and quadratic in $\mathcal{A}$ terms on the right hand side of this equation.

The first term of ${}^{\mathrm{H}}\Gamma$ is given by $-\mathcal{A}^{\beta}_{B}K^{A}_{\beta C}$. Making use of the following replacements

$$\mathcal{A}^{\beta}_{B} \to \mathcal{A}^{(\beta,p)}_{(\sigma,j,y)};\ \ K^{A}_{\beta C} \to K^{(\alpha,i,x)}_{(\beta,p)(\epsilon,k,z)} \equiv \delta^{i}_{k}\,c^{\alpha}_{\epsilon\beta}\,\delta^{3}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p})\,\delta^{3}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z})\,,$$

we get that

$$\mathcal{A}^{\beta}_{B}K^{A}_{\beta C} \to \int d\mathbf{p}\,\mathcal{A}^{(\beta,p)}_{\;\;(\sigma,j,y)}\delta^{i}_{k}\,c^{\alpha}_{\epsilon\beta}\,\delta^{3}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p})\,\delta^{3}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z}) = \mathcal{A}^{(\beta,x)}_{\;\;(\sigma,j,y)}\delta^{i}_{k}\,c^{\alpha}_{\epsilon\beta}\,\delta^{3}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z}).$$

It needs then to multiply this expression by $\dot{A}^{*\sigma j}(\mathbf{y},t)\dot{A}^{\epsilon k}(\mathbf{z},t)$ and take a ”generalized sum” over the repeated indices, that is

$$-\delta^{i}_{k}\int d\mathbf{y}\,d\mathbf{z}\,\mathcal{A}^{(\beta,x)}_{\;\;(\sigma,j,y)}\,c^{\alpha}_{\epsilon\beta}\,\delta^{3}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z})\dot{A}^{*\sigma j}(\mathbf{y},t)\dot{A}^{\epsilon k}(\mathbf{z},t).$$

So we obtain

$$-c^{\alpha}_{\epsilon\beta}\,\dot{A}^{*\epsilon i}(\mathbf{x},t)\int d\mathbf{y}\,\mathcal{A}^{(\beta,x)}_{\;\;(\sigma,j,y)}\dot{A}^{*\sigma j}(\mathbf{y},t).$$

This expression is the contribution to the horizontal Wong's equation arising from the first “$\mathcal{A}$-term“ of ${}^{\mathrm{H}}\Gamma^{A}_{BC}$. The second term gives the same contribution.

In Wong's equations, the “$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}$-terms“ of the Christoffel symbol are multiplied by a factor $(K^{M}_{\nu}\,K^{A}_{\beta M})$ which now is equal to

$$K^{(\mu,m,s)}_{\;\;(\nu,u)}\,K^{(\alpha,i,x)}_{\;\;(\beta,p)(\mu,m,s)} = c^{\alpha}_{\mu\beta}\,\left[\mathcal{D}^{\mu i}_{\nu}(A^{*}(\mathbf{x}))\delta^{3}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{u})\right]\,\delta^{3}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p})\,.$$

Because the first “$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}$-term“ in ${}^{\mathrm{H}}\Gamma$ is $(K^{M}_{\nu}\,K^{A}_{\beta M})\mathcal{A}^{\nu}_{B}\,\mathcal{A}^{\beta}_{C}$, we first multiply the obtained expression by $\mathcal{A}^{(\nu,u)}_{\;\;(\sigma,j,y)}\mathcal{A}^{(\beta,p)}_{\;\;(\epsilon,k,z)}$ and then integrate it over $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{u}$. This lead us to

$$\int d\mathbf{u}\,c^{\alpha}_{\mu\beta}\,\left[\mathcal{D}^{\mu i}_{\nu}(A^{*}(\mathbf{x}))\delta^{3}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{u})\right]\,\mathcal{A}^{(\nu,u)}_{\;\;(\sigma,j,y)}\mathcal{A}^{(\beta,x)}_{\;\;(\epsilon,k,z)} =$$
$$c^{\alpha}_{\mu\beta}\,\mathcal{A}^{(\beta,x)}_{\;\;(\epsilon,k,z)}\left(\mathcal{D}^{\mu i}_{\nu}(A^{*}(\mathbf{x}))\mathcal{A}^{(\nu,x)}_{\;\;(\sigma,j,y)}\right)\,.$$

It follows that the contribution of the “$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}$-terms“ of ${}^{\mathrm{H}}\Gamma$ to Wong's equations is

$$c^{\alpha}_{\mu\beta}\int d\mathbf{y}d\mathbf{z}\,\mathcal{A}^{(\beta,x)}_{\;\;(\epsilon,k,z)}\left[\mathcal{D}^{\mu i}_{\nu}(A^{*}(\mathbf{x},t))\mathcal{A}^{(\nu,x)}_{\;\;(\sigma,j,y)}\right]\,\dot{A}^{*\sigma j}(\mathbf{y},t)\,\dot{A}^{*\epsilon k}(\mathbf{z},t)\,.$$

Here we have taken into account the fact that the main variable $Q^{*}(t)$ of Wong's equations depends on the evolution parameter t. Therefore, we must replace $A^{*}(\mathbf{y})$ by $A^{*}(\mathbf{y},t)$ in our final formulas.

Now consider the “$\mathfrak{F}$–terms” of the horizontal Wong's equation. In the finite-dimensional equation (6) they are given by $G^{AS}\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{ES}\dot{Q}^{*E}p_{\nu}$. In eq.(7) we have expressed $G^{AS}\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{ES}$ in terms of $\mathcal{A}$, K and $\gamma^{\alpha\beta}$. The first term in this representation is

$$2\gamma^{\nu\epsilon}\,(K^{B}_{\epsilon}K^{R}_{\mu B})\,G_{RE}\,\gamma^{\mu\beta}\,K^{A}_{\beta}.$$

In gauge fields, it can be rewritten as

$$2\gamma^{(\nu,z)(\epsilon,u)}\,(\ldots)^{(\rho,m,v)}_{\quad\;(\mu,v_1)(\epsilon,u)}\,G_{(\rho,m,v)(\varphi,k,y)}\gamma^{(\mu,v_1)(\beta,b)}K^{(\alpha,i,x)}_{\quad\;(\beta,b)}.$$

Here $(\ldots)^{(\rho,m,v)}_{\quad\;(\mu,v_1)(\epsilon,u)}$ is

$$c^{\rho}_{\tilde{\beta}\mu}\delta^3(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{v}_1)\left[\mathcal{D}^{\tilde{\beta}m}_{\epsilon}(A^*(\mathbf{v}))\delta^3(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u})\right],$$

and $G_{(\rho,m,v)(\varphi,k,y)} = k_{\rho\varphi}\,\delta_{mk}\,\delta^3(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{y})$. Performing the integration over $\mathbf{v}$,$\mathbf{v}_1$, $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, we get

$$2\,c^{\rho}_{\tilde{\beta}\mu}k_{\rho\varphi}\left[\mathcal{D}^{\tilde{\beta}}_{\;\epsilon k}(A^*(\mathbf{y}))\gamma^{(\nu,z)(\epsilon,y)}\right]\left[\mathcal{D}^{\alpha i}_{\beta}(A^*(\mathbf{x}))\gamma^{(\mu,y)(\beta,x)}\right].$$

The expression in the last bracket represents the result of the integration over $\mathbf{b}$. But

$$\mathcal{A}^{(\alpha,x)}_{\quad\;(\sigma,j,y)}k^{\sigma\nu} = \left[\mathcal{D}^{\nu}_{\;\mu j}(A^*(\mathbf{y}))\gamma^{(\alpha,x)(\mu,y)}\right].$$

So, we can rewrite the obtained expression as

$$2\,c^{\rho}_{\tilde{\beta}\mu}k_{\rho\varphi}\left[\mathcal{A}^{(\nu,z)}_{\quad\;(\sigma,k,y)}k^{\sigma\tilde{\beta}}\right]\left[\mathcal{A}^{(\mu,i,y)}_{\quad\;(\sigma',x)}k^{\sigma'\alpha}\right].$$

Besides, we have

$$c^{\rho}_{\tilde{\beta}\mu}k^{\sigma\tilde{\beta}}k_{\rho\varphi} = -c^{\sigma}_{\nu'\mu}k^{\rho\nu'}k_{\rho\varphi} = -c^{\sigma}_{\varphi\mu}.$$

Therefore, the first term of $G^{AS}\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{ES}$ has the following representation:

$$-2\,c^{\sigma}_{\varphi\mu}\,\mathcal{A}^{(\nu,z)}_{\quad\;(\sigma,k,y)}\,\mathcal{A}^{(\mu,i,y)}_{\quad\;(\sigma',x)}\,k^{\sigma'\alpha}.$$

To obtain the first "$\mathfrak{F}$–term" of the horizontal Wong's equation one needs to multiply this expression by $\dot{A}^{*\varphi k}(\mathbf{y},t)$ and $p_{\nu}(z,t)$ and then perform the integration over $\mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{z}$.

The second term of $G^{AS}\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{ES}$, which is given by

$$c^{\sigma}_{\mu\epsilon}\,\gamma^{\nu\epsilon}\,K^{R}_{\sigma}\,G_{RE}\,\gamma^{\mu\beta}\,K^{A}_{\beta},$$

can be represented in gauge fields in the following way

$$c^{(\sigma,v)}_{(\mu,v_2)(\epsilon,u)}\gamma^{(\nu,z)(\epsilon,u)}K^{(\rho,m,v_1)}_{\quad\;(\sigma,v)}\,G_{(\rho,m,v_1)(\varphi,k,y)}\gamma^{(\mu,v_2)(\beta,q)}K^{(\alpha,i,x)}_{\quad\;(\beta,q)}.$$

Note that $c^{(\sigma,v)}_{(\mu,v_2)(\epsilon,u)} = c^{\sigma}_{\mu\epsilon}\delta^3(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{v}_2)\delta^3(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u})$.

Using the explicit expressions for K, G and $c^{(\sigma,v)}_{(\mu,v_2)(\epsilon,u)}$ and performing integration over $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{v}_2$, we get

$$c^{\sigma}_{\mu\epsilon} k_{\rho\varphi} \left\{ \delta^{\rho}_{\sigma} \left[\partial_k(\mathbf{y}) \gamma^{(\nu,z)(\epsilon,y)} \right] \left[\mathcal{D}^{\alpha i}_{\beta}(A^*(\mathbf{x})) \gamma^{(\mu,y)(\beta,x)} \right] \right.$$
$$\left. + \gamma^{(\nu,z)(\epsilon,y)} \mathcal{D}^{\rho}{}_{\sigma k}(A^*(\mathbf{y})) \left[\mathcal{D}^{\alpha i}_{\beta}(A^*(\mathbf{x})) \gamma^{(\mu,y)(\beta,x)} \right] \right\} .$$

Making use of the mechanical connection $\mathcal{A}$, one can rewrite this expression in the following form:

$$c^{\sigma}_{\mu\epsilon} k_{\rho\varphi} \left\{ \delta^{\rho}_{\sigma} \left[\partial_k(\mathbf{y}) \gamma^{(\nu,z)\,(\epsilon,y)} \right] k^{\beta\alpha} \, \mathcal{A}^{(\mu,i,y)}_{(\beta,x)} + \gamma^{(\nu,z)\,(\epsilon,y)} \left[\mathcal{D}^{\rho}{}_{\sigma k}(A^*(\mathbf{y})) \, \mathcal{A}^{(\mu,i,y)}_{(\beta,x)} \right] k^{\beta\alpha} \right\} .$$

Notice that another way of writing this result is given by the following formula

$$c^{\sigma}_{\mu\epsilon} k_{\rho\varphi} \left[\mathcal{D}^{\rho}{}_{\sigma k}(A^*(\mathbf{y})) \left(\gamma^{(\nu,z)\,(\epsilon,y)} \mathcal{A}^{(\mu,i,y)}_{(\beta,x)} \right) \right] k^{\beta\alpha}.$$

Multiplying resulting expression by $\dot{A}^{*\varphi k}(\mathbf{y},t)\, p_{\nu}(\mathbf{z},t)$ and integrating over $\mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{z}$, we get the second "$\mathcal{F}$–term" of the horizontal Wong's equation.

Finally we note that explicit expressions of other terms of Wong's equations can be obtained by using a similar approach.

References

[1] S.K.Wong, *Il Nuovo Cimento* **65A** (1970) 689.

[2] J. Jalilian-Marian, S. Jeon, R. Venugopalan, *Phys. Rev. D* **63** (2001) 036004.

[3] B. P. Kosyakov, *Phys. Rev. D* **57** (1998) 5032.

[4] R. Kerner, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **Vol. IX** no.2 (1968) 143.

[5] R. Montgomery, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **8** (1984) 59; R. Montgomery, *The bundle picture in mechanics*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 1986.

[6] J.E.Marsden, *Lecture on Mechanics*, London Math. Soc Lecture Notes Series **174**, Cambridge University press, Cambridge, 1992;
J.E.Marsden, T.S.Ratiu, J. Scheurle, *J. Math. Phys.* **41** (2000) 3379.

[7] C. Duval and P.Horvathy, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **142** (1982) 10.

[8] S. N. Storchak, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** (2001) 9329,
IHEP Preprint 96-110, Protvino, 1996;
S. N. Storchak. *Bogolubov transformation in path integral on manifold with a group action.* IHEP Preprint 98-1, Protvino, 1998;
S. N. Storchak, *Physics of Atomic Nuclei* **64** n.12 (2001) 2199

[9] S. N. Storchak, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **37** (2004) 7019,
IHEP Preprint 2000-54, Protvino, 2000; arXiv:math-ph/0311038

[10] S. N. Storchak, *J. Geometry and Physics* **59** (2009) 1155.

[11] Y. M. Cho, D. S. Kimm, *J. Math. Phys.* **30** n.7 (1989) 1571;
Y. M. Cho, *Phys. Rev. D* **35** n.8 (1987) 2628.

[12] A. Z. Jadczyk, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **1** (1984) 517.

[13] O. Babelon, C. M. Viallet, *Phys. Lett.* **85B** (1979) 246;
O. Babelon, C. M. Viallet, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **81** (1981) 515;
P. K. Mitter, C. M. Viallet, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **79** (1981) 43.

[14] I. M. Singer, *Phisica Scripta* **24** n.5 (1981) 817;
I. M. Singer, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **60** (1978) 7.

[15] M. S. Narasimhan, T. R. Ramadas, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **67** (1979) 121.

[16] D. Groisser, T. H. Parker, *J. Diff. Geom.* **29** (1989) 499.

[17] Yu. P. Soloviev, Geometrical structures on a manifold of interacting gauge fields, *Global analysis and mathematical physics* (Voronezh, 1987) 110–121 (in Russian).

[18] G.C. Rossi, M. Testa, *Nucl.Phys.* **B163** (1980) 109;
B176 (1980) 477.

[19] S. N. Storchak, *Path integral measure factorization in path integrals for diffusion of Yang–Mills fields*, arXiv:0711.2910, 2007.

[20] G. Kunstatter, *Class. Quant.Grav.* **9** (1992) 1466.